Applicants would like to thank the examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application, and for the personal interview conducted on June 23, 2010. he application

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and favorable reconsideration of the

subject application is requested in view of the comments and/or amendments made herein.

The Examiner has not initialed the IDSs of April 29, 2010 and May 27, 2010. After

repeated phone messages between applicant's representative and the Examiner, it was conveyed

to the Examiner that an English abstract and partial relevant translations of the foreign references

(JP-2000-023012, JP-2000-207487, JP-10-069524, and a Japanese Office action.), in compliance

with MPEP §609.04(a) were not considered by the Examiner. The Examiner indicated that he

would reconsider these references in light of the English abstracts and the provided partial

translations.

Claim Rejections

Claim 20 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed toward non-statutory

subject matter. The claim has been amended as suggested by the Examiner, making the rejection

moot.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,473,523 by Newman et al., hereinafter "Newman", in view U.S.

Pub. No. 2002/0051575 by Myers et al., hereinafter "Myers" and further in view of Adachi Juji

et al. JP 10-069524. Claims 11, 13, and 14 are rejected as above in further view of Hou (U.S.

2002/0131636), whereas claim 15 was rejected as above in further view of Ohsawa (U.S.

7,188,307). The rejections are respectfully traversed for at least the following reasons.

Page 9 of 11

Amendment dated September 29, 2010

Independent claim 1, as amended, explicitly requires "a cursor information output unit,

which outputs cursor position information showing a position of a character frame, wherein the

character frame includes vertical marks and horizontal lines to be used for separating each of the

individual characters of the plurality of characters in each character image from each other" and

a "display that simultaneously displays a cursor, which includes the character frame, with the

continuous still image at the time of capturing the continuous still image" wherein "said

character frame including vertical marks and horizontal lines is displayed with the continuous

image prior to said character recognizing unit recognizing the individual

characters" (emphasis added). As discussed at the personal interview, the prior art fails to teach

such a feature.

The Examiner cites Figure 13 of Newman and Figure 11 of Myers as teaching this

feature, but as was pointed out at the interview, Figure 13 o fNewmann fails to show individual

characters being separated, and Figure 11 of Myers also fails because the reference states that

this figure shows the recognition results (see end of paragraph 0087), and thus does not show

individual characters being separate from each other prior to recognition. Regarding Adachi, no

teaching of where in the process the cited figure is displayed has been provided.

Neither Hou nor Ohsawa overcome these shortcomings. Accordingly, claim 1 is

patentable over the references, as are the claims that depend thereon. Independent claims 5 and

19 recite similar features, and therefore, applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 5

and 19 are allowable over the references as are the claims dependent thereon.

In consideration of the foregoing analysis, it is respectfully submitted that the present

application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is

determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the examiner is invited to

Page 10 of 11

Appln. No. 10/523,877 Amendment dated September 29, 2010 Reply to Office Action dated June 7, 2010

initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No.: NGB-37577.

Respectfully submitted, PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By: / Robert F. Bodi / Robert F. Bodi, Reg. No. 48,540

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: September 29, 2010